## University of Thessaly Department of Computer and Communication Engineering

**Technical Report** 

Some results on diagonally dominant matrices with positive diagonal elements

**Definition.** A  $n \times n$  matrix  $\mathbf{A} = [a_{ij}]$  is called *row diagonally dominant* if  $|a_{ii}| > \sum_{\substack{j=1 \ j \neq i}}^{n} |a_{ij}|, \forall i = 1, ..., n$ . It is called *column diagonally dominant* if  $|a_{jj}| > \sum_{\substack{i=1 \ i \neq j}}^{n} |a_{ij}|, \forall j = 1, ..., n$ .

**Lemma 1.** If  $\mathbf{A} = [a_{ij}]$  is a  $n \times n$  row (resp., column) diagonally dominant matrix with positive diagonal elements, and  $\mathbf{D} = [d_i]$ ,  $\mathbf{C} = [c_i]$  are  $n \times n$  positive and nonnegative diagonal matrices respectively (i.e.  $d_i > 0$  and  $c_i \ge 0$ , i = 1,...,n), then the matrix  $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{C} + \mathbf{D}\mathbf{A}$  (resp.,  $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{C} + \mathbf{A}\mathbf{D}$ ) is row (resp., column) diagonally dominant with positive diagonal elements.

**Proof.** It is easily observed that, for a diagonal matrix **D**, the *ij*th element of the matrix product **DA** equals  $d_i a_{ij}$  (i.e. the effect of pre-multiplying a matrix **A** by a diagonal matrix **D** is simply to multiply each element of the *i*th row of **A** by the *i*th diagonal

element of **D**). Similarly, the *ij*th element of the product **AD** equals  $d_j a_{ij}$  (i.e. the effect of post-multiplying a matrix **A** by a diagonal matrix **D** is to multiply each element of the *j*th column of **A** by the *j*th diagonal element of **D**). Now, if **A** is row diagonally dominant with positive diagonal elements, then for the matrix  $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{C} + \mathbf{D}\mathbf{A}$  it holds  $b_{ii} = c_i + d_i a_{ii} > 0$  (i = 1, ..., n) and also:

$$b_{ii} = c_i + d_i a_{ii} > c_i + d_i \sum_{\substack{j=1 \ j \neq i}}^n |a_{ij}| \ge \sum_{\substack{j=1 \ j \neq i}}^n |d_i a_{ij}| = \sum_{\substack{j=1 \ j \neq i}}^n |b_{ij}|, \ \forall i = 1, \dots, n$$

i.e. B is row diagonally dominant with positive diagonal elements.

If **A** is column diagonally dominant with positive diagonal elements, then for the matrix  $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{C} + \mathbf{A}\mathbf{D}$  it holds  $b_{jj} = c_j + d_j a_{jj} > 0$  (j = 1, ..., n) and also:

$$b_{jj} = c_j + d_j a_{jj} > c_j + d_j \sum_{\substack{i=1\\i\neq j}}^n |a_{ij}| \ge \sum_{\substack{i=1\\i\neq j}}^n |d_j a_{ij}| = \sum_{\substack{i=1\\i\neq j}}^n |b_{ij}|, \ \forall j = 1, \dots, n$$

i.e. **B** is column diagonally dominant with positive diagonal elements. **Q.E.D.** 

**Lemma 2.** If  $\mathbf{A} = [a_{ij}]$  is a  $n \times n$  matrix with positive diagonal elements which satisfies  $\|\mathbf{A}\|_{\infty} = \max_{1 \le i \le n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} |a_{ij}| < 1$  (resp.,  $\|\mathbf{A}\|_{1} = \max_{1 \le j \le n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |a_{ij}| < 1$ ), then the matrix  $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}$  is row (resp., column) diagonally dominant with positive diagonal elements.

**Proof.** If  $\|\mathbf{A}\|_{\infty} = \max_{1 \le i \le n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} |a_{ij}| < 1$ , then  $\sum_{j=1}^{n} |a_{ij}| < 1$ ,  $\forall i = 1, ..., n$ , or (considering also that  $a_{ii} > 0, i = 1, ..., n$ ):

$$0 < \sum_{\substack{j=1\\j\neq i}}^{n} \left| a_{ij} \right| = \sum_{\substack{j=1\\j\neq i}}^{n} \left| b_{ij} \right| < 1 - a_{ii} = b_{ii}, \ \forall i = 1, \dots, n$$

i.e.  $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}$  is row diagonally dominant with positive diagonal elements.

Likewise, if  $\|\mathbf{A}\|_{1} = \max_{1 \le j \le n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |a_{ij}| < 1$ , then  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} |a_{ij}| < 1$ ,  $\forall j = 1, ..., n$ , or (considering also that  $a_{jj} > 0, j = 1, ..., n$ ):

$$0 < \sum_{\substack{i=1 \ i \neq j}}^{n} |a_{ij}| = \sum_{\substack{i=1 \ i \neq j}}^{n} |b_{ij}| < 1 - a_{jj} = b_{jj}, \ \forall j = 1, \dots, n$$

i.e.  $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}$  is column diagonally dominant with positive diagonal elements. Q.E.D.

**Theorem 1.** If  $\mathbf{A} = [a_{ij}]$  is a  $n \times n$  row diagonally dominant matrix with positive

diagonal elements then  $\|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\|_{\infty} \leq \left[\min_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ j \neq i}} \left(a_{ii} - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{n} \left|a_{ij}\right|\right)\right]^{-1}$ . If **A** is column diagonally

dominant with positive diagonal elements then  $\|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\|_{1} \leq \left[\min_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n \\ i \leq j \leq n}} \left(a_{jj} - \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n} |a_{ij}|\right)\right]^{-1}$ .

Proof. For every induced matrix norm it is:

$$\left\|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\right\| = \sup_{\substack{\mathbf{x}\in\mathfrak{R}^n\\\mathbf{x}\neq\mathbf{0}}} \frac{\left\|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{x}\right\|}{\left\|\mathbf{x}\right\|} = \sup_{\substack{\mathbf{x}\in\mathfrak{R}^n\\\mathbf{x}\neq\mathbf{0}}} \frac{\left\|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{x}\right\|}{\left\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{x}\right\|} = \sup_{\substack{\mathbf{y}\in\mathfrak{R}^n\\\mathbf{y}\neq\mathbf{0}}} \frac{\left\|\mathbf{y}\right\|}{\left\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{y}\right\|} = \left[\inf_{\substack{\mathbf{y}\in\mathfrak{R}^n\\\mathbf{y}\neq\mathbf{0}}} \frac{\left\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{y}\right\|}{\left\|\mathbf{y}\right\|}\right]^{-1}$$

(provided, of course, that A is nonsingular). Now, if A is row diagonally dominant with positive diagonal elements (in which case  $A^{-1}$  always exists [1]), then in order

to show that  $\|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\|_{\infty} \leq \left[\min_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ j \neq i}} \left(a_{ii} - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{n} \left|a_{ij}\right|\right)\right]^{-1}$  we just need to show that

 $\frac{\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{y}\|_{\infty}}{\|\mathbf{y}\|_{\infty}} \ge \min_{1 \le i \le n} \left( a_{ii} - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \ j \ne i}}^{n} |a_{ij}| \right) > 0, \quad \forall \mathbf{y} \in \Re^{n}. \text{ Assume that for some arbitrary vector}$ 

 $\mathbf{y} \in \mathfrak{R}^{n}$  it is  $|y_{k}| = \|\mathbf{y}\|_{\infty} = \max_{1 \le i \le n} |y_{i}|$ . Then we have:

$$\frac{\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{y}\|_{\infty}}{\|\mathbf{y}\|_{\infty}} = \frac{\max_{1 \le i \le n} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} y_{j} \right|}{|y_{k}|} \ge \frac{\left| \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{kj} y_{j} \right|}{|y_{k}|} \ge \frac{|a_{kk} y_{k}| - \left| \sum_{\substack{j=1\\j \ne k}}^{n} a_{kj} y_{j} \right|}{|y_{k}|} \ge \frac{|a_{kk} y_{k}| - \sum_{\substack{j=1\\j \ne k}}^{n} |a_{kj}| \|y_{j}|}{|y_{k}|}$$

$$\geq \frac{\left|a_{kk} y_{k}\right| - \sum_{\substack{j=1\\j\neq k}}^{n} \left|a_{kj}\right| y_{k}}{\left|y_{k}\right|} = a_{kk} - \sum_{\substack{j=1\\j\neq k}}^{n} \left|a_{kj}\right| \geq \min_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ j\neq i}} \left(a_{ii} - \sum_{\substack{j=1\\j\neq i}}^{n} \left|a_{ij}\right|\right) > 0$$

Similarly, if **A** is column diagonally dominant with positive diagonal elements we need to show that  $\frac{\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{y}\|_{1}}{\|\mathbf{y}\|_{1}} \ge \min_{1 \le j \le n} \left( a_{jj} - \sum_{\substack{i=1 \ i \ne j}}^{n} |a_{ij}| \right) > 0, \ \forall \mathbf{y} \in \Re^{n}.$  For some arbitrary vector

 $\mathbf{y} \in \mathfrak{R}^n$  we have:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{y}\|_{1}}{\|\mathbf{y}\|_{1}} &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} y_{j}\right|}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} |y_{i}|} \geq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\left|a_{ii} y_{i}\right| - \left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} y_{j}\right|\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} |y_{i}|} \geq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\left|a_{ii} y_{i}\right| - \sum_{j=1}^{n} |a_{ij}| |y_{j}|\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} |y_{i}|} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\left|a_{jj} y_{j}\right| - \sum_{i=1}^{n} |a_{ij}| |y_{j}|\right)}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} |y_{j}|} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\left|y_{j}\right| \left|a_{jj} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} |a_{ij}|\right|\right)\right)}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} |y_{j}|} \geq \frac{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} |y_{j}\right) |\sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left(a_{jj} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} |a_{ij}|\right)}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} |y_{j}|} \\ &= \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left(a_{jj} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} |a_{ij}|\right) > 0 \qquad \textbf{Q.E.D.} \end{split}$$

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\mathbf{A} = [a_{ij}]$  be a  $n \times n$  – row or column – diagonally dominant matrix with positive diagonal elements. If  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $\mathbf{A}$  then  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0$ .

**Proof.** This is an immediate consequence of the Gershgorin circle theorem [1], by which every eigenvalue  $\lambda_k$ , k = 1,...,n of a square matrix **A** is located in one of the *n* 

disks in the complex plane defined by 
$$\left\{z: |z-a_{ii}| \le \sum_{\substack{j=1\\j\neq i}}^n |a_{ij}|\right\}$$
,  $i = 1, ..., n$  (i.e. the n

disks centered at  $a_{ii}$  and having radius  $\sum_{\substack{j=1\\j\neq i}}^{n} |a_{ij}|$ , i = 1, ..., n). Obviously, if the matrix A

is row diagonally dominant with positive diagonal elements, then all Gershgorin disks lie entirely in the positive real semi-plane and thus all eigenvalues of **A** have positive real parts, i.e.  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_k > 0$ ,  $\forall k = 1,...,n$ . Since the Gershgorin circle theorem can be

restated for the set of disks 
$$\left\{ z : \left| z - a_{jj} \right| \le \sum_{\substack{i=1 \ i \neq j}}^{n} \left| a_{ij} \right| \right\}, j = 1, ..., n$$
 (by applying it to  $\mathbf{A}^{T}$ 

and because  $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A}^T$  have the same eigenvalues [2]), it holds again  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_k > 0$ ,  $\forall k = 1,...,n$  for the case where  $\mathbf{A}$  is column diagonally dominant with positive diagonal elements.

There is an alternative way of proving the theorem. Suppose, to derive a contradiction, that there exists an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of **A** which has  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \leq 0$ . Then, if **A** is row diagonally dominant with positive diagonal elements it would be  $|\lambda - a_{ii}| = \sqrt{(a_{ii} + |\operatorname{Re} \lambda|)^2 + (\operatorname{Im} \lambda)^2} > a_{ii} > \sum_{\substack{j=1 \ j \neq i}}^n |a_{ij}|$ , which means that the matrix

 $\lambda \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}$  is also row diagonally dominant (generally, with complex diagonal elements). However such a matrix is always nonsingular [1], i.e.  $\det(\lambda \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}) \neq 0$ , which contradicts our initial hypothesis that  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $\mathbf{A}$  (a similar proof can be derived for the case of  $\mathbf{A}$  being column diagonally dominant with positive diagonal elements). **Q.E.D.** 

**Theorem 3.** If  $\mathbf{A} = [a_{ij}]$  is a  $n \times n$  – row or column – diagonally dominant matrix with positive diagonal elements then  $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$  has only positive diagonal elements.

**Proof.** Let  $\mathbf{A}^{-1} = [\alpha_{ij}]$ . For the diagonal elements  $\alpha_{ii}$  of  $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$  it holds:

$$\alpha_{ii} = (-1)^{i+i} \frac{\det(\mathbf{A}_{ii})}{\det(\mathbf{A})} = \frac{\det(\mathbf{A}_{ii})}{\det(\mathbf{A})}, \ i = 1,...,n$$

where  $\mathbf{A}_{ii}$  is the (principal) submatrix of **A** obtained by striking out the *i*th row and the *i*th column. If **A** is – row or column – diagonally dominant with positive diagonal elements, then so is every principal submatrix  $\mathbf{A}_{ii}$ , i = 1,...,n, as is easily verified. Thus, by Theorem 2 all eigenvalues of **A** as well as of any principal submatrix  $\mathbf{A}_{ii}$ , i = 1,...,n have positive real parts. Let  $\mu_k$ ,  $k = 1,...,n_r$  and  $\gamma_k, \overline{\gamma}_k$ ,  $k = 1,...,n_c$  be the – not necessarily distinct – real and complex eigenvalues of **A** respectively (the latter occurring in conjugate pairs), where  $n_r + 2n_c = n$ . Let also  $\mu_k^{(i)}$ ,  $k = 1,...,n_r^{(i)}$  and  $\gamma_k^{(i)}, \overline{\gamma}_k^{(i)}, k = 1,...,n_c^{(i)}$  denote the real and complex eigenvalues of the principal submatrix  $\mathbf{A}_{ii}$ , i = 1,...,n, where  $n_r^{(i)} + 2n_c^{(i)} = n - 1$  for every i = 1,...,n. Since the determinant of any square matrix is equal to the product of its eigenvalues [2], we arrive at the desired result:

$$\alpha_{ii} = \frac{\det(\mathbf{A}_{ii})}{\det(\mathbf{A})} = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{n_r^{(i)}} \mu_k^{(i)} \prod_{k=1}^{n_c^{(i)}} \left[ \left( \operatorname{Re} \gamma_k^{(i)} \right)^2 + \left( \operatorname{Im} \gamma_k^{(i)} \right)^2 \right]}{\prod_{k=1}^{n_r} \mu_k \prod_{k=1}^{n_c} \left[ \left( \operatorname{Re} \gamma_k \right)^2 + \left( \operatorname{Im} \gamma_k \right)^2 \right]} > 0, \ i = 1, ..., n \quad \mathbf{Q.E.D.}$$

**Theorem 4.** If  $\mathbf{A} = [a_{ij}]$  is a  $n \times n$  row (resp., column) diagonally dominant matrix with positive diagonal elements then the matrix  $\mathbf{I} - (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{A})^{-1}$  $= (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{A})^{-1}\mathbf{A} = (\mathbf{A}^{-1} + \mathbf{I})^{-1}$  is also row (resp., column) diagonally dominant with positive diagonal elements.

*Proof.* If A is row diagonally dominant with positive diagonal elements then clearly the same holds for  $\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{A}$ . This implies that the inverse  $(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{A})^{-1}$  has only positive diagonal elements (due to Theorem 3) and also satisfies (on account of Theorem 1):

$$\left\| \left( \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{A} \right)^{-1} \right\|_{\infty} < \left[ \min_{\substack{1 \le i \le n \\ 1 \le i \le n}} \left( 1 + a_{ii} - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \ne i}}^{n} \left| a_{ij} \right| \right) \right]^{-1} < 1$$

Thus Lemma 2 is applicable and the matrix  $\mathbf{I} - (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{A})^{-1}$  is row diagonally dominant with positive diagonal elements.

Likewise, if A is column diagonally dominant with positive diagonal elements then so is  $\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{A}$ , whose inverse  $(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{A})^{-1}$  has only positive diagonal elements and satisfies:

$$\left\| (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{A})^{-1} \right\|_{1} < \left[ \min_{1 \le j \le n} \left( 1 + a_{jj} - \sum_{\substack{i=1 \ i \ne j}}^{n} \left| a_{ij} \right| \right) \right]^{-1} < 1$$

Thus it follows that the matrix  $I - (I + A)^{-1}$  is column diagonally dominant with positive diagonal elements. Q.E.D.

**Corollary 1.** If  $\mathbf{A} = [a_{ij}]$  is a  $n \times n$  row (resp., column) diagonally dominant matrix with positive diagonal elements and  $\mathbf{D} = [d_i]$  is a  $n \times n$  positive diagonal matrix, then the matrix  $(\mathbf{A}^{-1} + \mathbf{D})^{-1} = (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{A}\mathbf{D})^{-1}\mathbf{A}$  is also row (resp., column) diagonally dominant with positive diagonal elements.

*Proof.* If **A** is a row diagonally dominant matrix with positive diagonal elements and **D** is a positive diagonal matrix, then by successive use of Lemma 1, Theorem 4, and again Lemma 1 we have that the matrices **DA**,  $((\mathbf{DA})^{-1} + \mathbf{I})^{-1}$ , and  $\mathbf{D}^{-1}((\mathbf{DA})^{-1} + \mathbf{I})^{-1} = (\mathbf{A}^{-1} + \mathbf{D})^{-1}$  are also row diagonally dominant with positive diagonal elements.

In a similar manner, if **A** is column diagonally dominant with positive diagonal elements, then the matrices **AD**,  $((\mathbf{AD})^{-1} + \mathbf{I})^{-1}$ , and  $((\mathbf{AD})^{-1} + \mathbf{I})^{-1}\mathbf{D}^{-1} = (\mathbf{A}^{-1} + \mathbf{D})^{-1}$  are also column diagonally dominant with positive diagonal elements. **Q.E.D.** 

**Theorem 5.** If  $\mathbf{A} = [a_{ij}]$  is a  $n \times n$  – row or column – diagonally dominant matrix with positive diagonal elements, then for the matrix  $\mathbf{B} = (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{A})^{-1}$  it holds  $\lim_{N \to \infty} \mathbf{B}^N = \mathbf{0}$ .

**Proof.** It is well known [1] that a sufficient condition to have  $\lim_{N \to \infty} \mathbf{B}^N = \mathbf{0}$  is either  $\|\mathbf{B}\|_{\infty} < 1$  or  $\|\mathbf{B}\|_1 < 1$ , which for  $\mathbf{B} = (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{A})^{-1}$  follows directly from Theorem 1 when  $\mathbf{A}$  is – row or column – diagonally dominant with positive diagonal elements.

As an alternative proof, it is also well known [1] that  $\lim_{N\to\infty} \mathbf{B}^N = \mathbf{0}$  if and only if  $\rho(\mathbf{B}) = \max_{1 \le k \le n} |\lambda_k(\mathbf{B})| < 1$ , where  $\lambda_k(\mathbf{B})$ , k = 1,...,n are the – not necessarily distinct – eigenvalues of **B** and  $\rho(\mathbf{B})$  is the largest of their magnitudes (called the *spectral*)

*radius* of **B**). Also, for  $\mathbf{B} = (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{A})^{-1}$  it is true that  $\lambda_k(\mathbf{B}) = \frac{1}{1 + \lambda_k(\mathbf{A})}, k = 1,...,n$  [2].

If **A** is – row or column – diagonally dominant with positive diagonal elements, then it follows from Theorem 2 that  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_k(\mathbf{A}) > 0$ ,  $\forall k = 1,...,n$ . This gives:

$$\left|\lambda_{k}\left(\mathbf{B}\right)\right| = \frac{1}{\left|1 + \lambda_{k}\left(\mathbf{A}\right)\right|} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\left(1 + \operatorname{Re}\lambda_{k}\left(\mathbf{A}\right)\right)^{2} + \left(\operatorname{Im}\lambda_{k}\left(\mathbf{A}\right)\right)^{2}}} < 1, \ \forall k = 1, ..., n,$$

or finally  $\rho(\mathbf{B}) < 1$ . **Q.E.D.** 

## References

- [1] Y. Saad, Iterative Methods for Sparse Linear Systems, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., SIAM, 2003.
- [2] R. Horn and C. Johnson, *Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge, 1990.